

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,465	10/26/2000	Ronnal P. Reichard	5785-23	4519
759	90 05/01/2002			
Robert J Sacco			EXAMINER	
222 Lakeview A			LONEY, DONALD J	
Post Office Box West Palm Beac	. 3188A ch, FL 33402-3188		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,, est 1 a 2000	, 12 00 102 0 100		1772	3
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A.S-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/697465	<u> </u>	and etal
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
	D. Lone	,	77 2
—Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspon	dence address—
Period for Reply	1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM	THE MAILING DAT
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	s, a reply within the statutory me efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS f y statute, cause the application	inimum of thirty (30) days wi from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (ill be considered timely. communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			erits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
7 Claim(s) 1 - 20	is/are pending in	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	NAME OF THE OWNER	is/are withdrawr	n from consideration
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)			
C(claim(s) 1-2 °			estriction or election
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• • •	• •	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	bjected to by the Examine	r	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		No	-•
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum			
in this national stage application from the Internati	•	* **	
*Certified copies not received:			<u> </u>
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s)	Int rvi w Summary, PTG	0–413
□ Notice of R ference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Pat I	nt Application. PTO-

Office Action Summary

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Pap r No.

□ Oth r.__

Art Unit: 1772

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a process, classified in class 264, subclass 241.
- II. Claims 13-18, drawn to a process, classified in class 264, subclass 257.
- 2. III. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 172.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 4. Inventions (I and II), and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as injection molding the entire article.

Inventions I and II are separate and distinct processes which have different modes of operation, different effects or different functions. Group I positions already formed inserts into the channels wherein Group II injects the panel with foam while constraining the opposing panel surfaces, which is not included in Group I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,465

Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner D.Loney at telephone number (703) 308-2416.

Examiner Loney/ng

April 30, 2002

DONALD J. LONEY PRIMARY EXAMINER